<b>P22-17 Award Summary</b> Points Total:100							Approved as Spec'd	Approved as Alternate	Not Approved
<b>PHASE ONE:</b> Determination of Approved LED Manufacturers	potential alternatives with FC who submitted either the mar alternates not approved have	<b>30, 2022</b> , FCS modified Section 4. S being the Sole Determinant acce nufacturer as specifed or an appro been removed from consideration leemed <b>"Not Responsible"</b> with su	Lang, I2 Visual, AAA Signs, Metro, REI, Oakhurst	Complete Signs-Vantage Lang- Watchfire, The Sign Store-Vantage	Metro Alternate- OS North Ga Alternate-Daco				
<b>PHASE TWO: Non-Cost</b> Points Available: 40	including, but not limited to and other communiction components of Evaluation	non-cost elements as detailed l written proposal submission, re : <b>Content-</b> Actual information as it relates to the expectations	efernce checks directly from r	NOTE: There are two ly) AND Quality- which is an	Notes: Non-Cost Determined Utilizing Scoring Matrix Relative to Points Available:				
<b>PHASE TWO: Non-Cost</b> Points Available: 40/100	AAA Signs	Complete Signs	I2 Visual	Lang Daktronics	Lang Watchfire	Metro Daktronics	Oakhurst	REI	Sign Store
<b>Vendor Qualifications</b> Points Available: 15/40									
<b>Company Profile</b> 3.1.1 to 3.1.4 Points Available 5/15	Excellent=100% 5 Points	Excellent=100% 5 Points	Excellent=100% 5 Points	Excellent=100% 5 Points	Excellent=100% 5 Points	Excellent=100% 5 Points	Excellent=100% 5 Points	Excellent=100% 5 Points	Excellent=100% 5 Points
References 3.1.1 to 3.1.4 Points Available 10/15	Adequate 7 Points Suitable Reference submitted but no reference responded	Marginal=50% 5 Points Did not follow reference format as requested in 3.1.5, no K-12, unable to receive responses		Did not follow reference format as requested in 3.1.5,minimal	K-12 of similar scope Did not		Poor=25% 2 Points Did not follow reference format as requested in 3.1.5, no direct reference provided, only general job literature	Excellent=100% 10 Points	Very Good = 90% 9 Points Suitable References submitted, one K-12 of scale, but all other responses were excellent and K-12 reference was outstanding
Total Vendor Qualifications	12	10	14	13	13	14	7	15	14
Scope of Work Section 4.0 Points Available: 25/40									

Preconstruction, Construction Protocols and Methodology 4.1 to 4.4, 4.6 Points Available: 10	Adequate 7 Points Limited in address of key elements requested in this section	Very Good=90% 9 Points	Very Good=90% 9 Points	Very Good=90% 9 Points	Very Good=90% 9 Points	Excellent=100% 10 Points	Good=80% 8 Points	Excellent=100% 10 Points	Excellent=100% 10 Points
<b>Technical Product Eval</b> 4.2 and Addendum One <b>Points Available: 8</b>	As Spec'd 8 Points	Excellent 100 % 8 Points Met threshold as alternative, the tech evaluation revealed some specs slightly exceeding and some slightly less resulting in a determination of Excellent overall		As Spec'd 8 Points	V Good=90% 7.2 Points While meeting threshold as alternative, there were some minor differences in tech evaluation	As Spec'd 8 Points	As Spec'd 8 Points	As Spec'd 8 Points	Excellent 8 Points Met threshold as alternative, the tech evaluation revealed some specs slightly exceeding and some slightly less resulting in a determination of Excellent overall
Support, Service, Warranty 4.5 and Addendums 1&2 Points Available: 5	Adequate 2.8 Points Did not address Addendums 1&2 pertaining to current signs	Marginal 2.5 Points Nearest Service Center is 245 miles. Didn't provide background check info Did not address Addendums 1&2 pertaining to current signs	Adequate 2.8 Points Did not address Addendums 1&2 pertaining to current signs	Very Good=90% 3.6 Points	Very Good=90% 3.6 Points	Did not address Addendums	Adequate 2.8 Points Did not address Addendums 1&2 pertaining to current signs and potential additional cost for work schedule	Very Good=90% 3.6 Points	Very Good=90% 3.6 Points Small deduct for service center in Macon offset by response time guarantee
Value Added Up to Two Points available for additional goods/services deemed of tangible value to FCS	NA	1 POINT for Warranty Extension to 7 yr Parts and Labor	NA	NA	1 POINT for Warranty Extension to 7 yr Parts and Labor	NA	NA	NA	2 POINTS for Warranty extension to 7 yr pars and Labor. Also for inclusion of Lightning/Vandal Policy and Content Creation License, replace 3 existing Daktronic signs included in cost
Total Scope of Work	17.8	20.5	19.8	20.6	21.8	20.8	18.8	21.6	23.6
<b>Total Non Cost</b> Point Available:40	29.80	30.50	33.80	33.60	34.80	34.80	25.80	36.60	37.60
PHASE THREE: Determination Highest Scoring RFP's (Daktronics and Alternate)									
PHASE Three A: Cost Evaluation Daktronics Points Available: 60/100	AAA Signs		I2 Visual	Lang Daktronics		Metro Daktronics	Oakhurst	REI	
<b>Cost Points</b> (See Cost Tab Below)	59.97		60	59.98		58.35	51.11	55.74	
TOTAL Daktronics	89.77	30.50	93.80	93.58	34.80	93.15	76.91	92.34	37.60

PHASE Three B: Cost Evaluation Alternates Points Available: 60/100	Complete Signs (Vantage)			Lang (Watchfire)		Sign Store (Vantage)	
<b>Cost Points</b> (See Cost Tab Below)	49.09			60		59.98	
TOTAL Alternates	79.59			94.80		97.58	
<b>PHASE Four:</b> Determination Highest Scoring RFP Overall from FINALISTS	For Purposes of Phase Four, Cost will be equalized among both Alternatives (Highest Scoring of Each Manufacturer) and the Highest Scoring Lowest Cost Daktronics Offer to Determine Final Award. Equalization including the cost to extend parts and Labor Warranty from 5 YR to 7 YR. It should also be noted that Both Alternatives include replace the three current Daktronics signs. Daktronics Offer does not include replacing those sign as it is not necessary, but does provide some value to the District and as such, should be noted. Phase Four Includes THREE FINALIST- The Highest Scoring Offer from Each of the Three Remaining Manufacturers (Daktronics, Vantage, Watchfire)						
PHASE Four: Cost Evaluation Finalist AND FINAL AWARD Points Available: 60/100		I2 Visual Daktronics		Lang Watchfire		Sign Store	
Cost Points Equalized (See Cost Tab Below)		48.5		60		59.98	
FINAL SCORE (Equalized Cost and Non-Cost)		82.30		94.80		97.58	
Award Note	The Sign Store, utilizing Vantage LED Boards as an alternate approved by FCS and determined to be in the best interest of the District, is the highest scoring responsible and responsive Offer meeting FCS terms and Conditions. Alternative Approval Process, as well as, determiniation of one non-responsible offer is included in tabs below.						